

Interview Summary

Application No. 09/036,819	Applicant(s) EI Shami
Examiner S. Devi, Ph.D.	Group Art Unit 1645



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) S. Devi (PTO)

(3) _____

(2) Joseph Mueth

(4) _____

Date of Interview March 04, 2002

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: claim 54.

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Mueth was informed that the draft after-final amendment that he sent by facsimile was reviewed and that the proposed amendment still involved the new matter issue. The specification on pages 25-27 in connection with the rejection of record was discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

SD
MAR 6 02

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

**S. DEVI, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1645**